

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,568	09/03/2003	Hidefumi Yoshida	2803.68246	5834
7590 10/11/2005			EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Bu	rns, Esq.	SCHECHTER, ANDREW M		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2500 300 South Wad	ker Drive		2871	
Chicago, IL 60606			D. 100 100 100 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Q.U
1	Applicant(s)
	YOSHIDA ET AL.
	Art Unit
	2871
	correspondence address -
f ff	OR ALLOWANCE. Appeal. To avoid abandonment of idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) ust be filed within one of the following
ŋ	in the final rejection, whichever is later. In g date of the final rejection.  FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
t g	36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
O	filed within two months of the date of avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since 7 CFR 41.37(a).
	will <u>not</u> be entered because TE below);
е	ducing or simplifying the issues for
ij	ected claims.
o	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
,	timely filed amendment canceling the
/i	l be entered and an explanation of
J.	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered it or other evidence is necessary and
e S	date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be all and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	4-11
10/654,568	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew Schechter	2871	

	Andrew Schechter	2871	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Office	ate extension fee
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in below</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 204)
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ul>		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 58. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16,17,29 and 65-67.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 31,32,57 and 59-	<u>64</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		Andrew Schechter Primary Examiner 6 October 2005	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 16 and 29 raise new issues which would require further search and/or consideration to see if they include allowable subject matter.